UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

GSI TECHNOLOGY, INC.,) Case No.: 5:13-cv-01081-PSG
Plaintiff,	ORDER: MOTIONS TO SEAL
v. UNITED MEMORIES, INC. and INTEGRATED SILICON SOLUTION, INC.,	(Re: Docket Nos. 316, 318, 321, 324, 330, 337)
Defendants.	_)

Before the court are six administrative motions to seal 22 documents. "Historically, courts have recognized a 'general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." Accordingly, when considering a sealing request, "a 'strong presumption in favor of access' is the starting point." Parties seeking to seal judicial records

Case No.: 5:13-cv-01081-PSG ORDER: MOTIONS TO SEAL

Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n. 7 (1978)).

² Id. (quoting Foltz, v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

relating to dispositive motions bear the burden of overcoming the presumption with "compelling reasons" that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.³

However, "while protecting the public's interest in access to the courts, we must remain mindful of the parties' right to access those same courts upon terms which will not unduly harm their competitive interest." Records attached to nondispositive motions therefore are not subject to the strong presumption of access.⁵ Because the documents attached to nondispositive motions "are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action," parties moving to seal must meet the lower "good cause" standard of Rule 26(c). As with dispositive motions, the standard applicable to nondispositive motions requires a "particularized showing" that "specific prejudice or harm will result" if the information is disclosed. "Broad allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by specific examples of articulated reasoning" will not suffice. 9 A protective order sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court's previous determination that good cause exists to keep the documents sealed, ¹⁰ but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to designate confidential documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether each particular document should remain sealed.¹¹

Case No.: 5:13-cv-01081-PSG ORDER: MOTIONS TO SEAL

28

27

³ *Id.* at 1178-79.

⁴ Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 727 F.3d 1214, 1228-29 (Fed. Cir. 2013).

⁵ See id. at 1180.

⁶ *Id.* at 1179 (internal quotations and citations omitted).

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

⁹ Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co., 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

¹⁰ See Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179-80.

¹¹ See Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) ("Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are sealable."). 2

In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document is "sealable," or "privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." "The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d)." "Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable."¹³

With these standards in mind, the courts rules on the instant motions as follows:

Motion	Document to be Sealed	Result	Reason/Explanation
Docket No. 316	GSI's December 16, 2014 Letter Brief in Support of its Motion to Compel	2¶5:2-4 (from after "with UMI" to before "ISSI's position"); 2¶5:5-end (after "understood term"); 3¶1:7-end (after "See Ex"); 3¶2:3-end (after first sentence); 4¶5:2-end (after first sentence); 5¶1:5-end (after "litigation"); 5¶3:4-end (after "identification") SEALED. Remainder UNSEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information and supported by a declaration.
Docket No. 316	Exhibit C	7:15-8:4; 8:23-9:4; 9:26-10:7; 11:1-12:3; 13:4-14:22; 18:6- 18:10; 22:11-22:21 SEALED. Remainder UNSEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information and supported by a declaration.
Docket No. 316	Exhibit D	5:16-24 (after "responses" to end of paragraph); 5:25-28 (after "Consent" to end of paragraph); 6:8-18; 7:4-17 (after "Limitations" to end of paragraph) SEALED. Remainder UNSEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

¹² Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the submitting party to attach a "proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material" which "lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed," Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an "unreadacted version of the document" that indicates "by highlighting or other clear method, the portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version." Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d).

Case No.: 5:13-cv-01081-PSG ORDER: MOTIONS TO SEAL

¹³ Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).

Docket No. 316	Exhibit E	UNSEALED.	No supporting declaration filed.
Docket No. 316	Exhibit F	UNSEALED.	No supporting declaration filed.
Docket No. 316	Exhibit G	1¶3 – 2¶4; 3¶6 SEALED. Remainder UNSEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information and supported by a declaration.
Docket No. 316	Exhibit H	SEALED in its entirety.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 316	Exhibit I	SEALED in its entirety.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 318	GSI's December 16, 2014 Letter Brief in Opposition to ISSI's Motion to Compel	Redacted portions SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 321	Declaration of Constance F. Ramos in Support of UMI's Motion for Summary Judgment	¶10-¶17 SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 321	Exhibit A	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 321	Exhibit B	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 321	Exhibit C	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 321	Exhibit D	SEALED in its entirety.	Narrowly tailored to confidential source code and know how.
Docket No. 321	Exhibit E	UNSEALED.	Pages filed are unintelligible.
Docket No. 324	ISSI's December 18, 2014 Reply in Support of its Motion to Compel	Redacted portions SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 324	Exhibit E	SEALED in its entirety.	Narrowly tailored to confidential technical knowledge.
Docket No. 324	Exhibit F	SEALED in its entirety.	Narrowly tailored to confidential technical knowledge.
Docket No. 330	ISSI's December 19, 2014 Letter Brief in Opposition to GSI's Motion to Compel	Redacted portions SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
Docket No. 330	Exhibit J	SEALED in its entirety.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

Case No.: 5:13-cv-01081-PSG ORDER: MOTIONS TO SEAL

Docket No.	Exhibit K	SEALED in its entirety.	Narrowly tailored to
330	Zamon II	SETTEED IN Its characty.	confidential business
			information.
Docket No.	GSI's December 24, 2014	2¶1:4-10 (after "with regard to	Only sealed portions
337	Reply in Support of its	Interrogatory No. 9" to before	narrowly tailored to
	Motion to Compel	"ISSI further contends")	confidential business
	_	SEALED. Remainder	information and
		UNSEALED.	supported by a
			declaration.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 14, 2015

Paul S. GREWAL

United States Magistrate Judge

Case No.: 5:13-cv-01081-PSG ORDER: MOTIONS TO SEAL